

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	ITOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/747,844	12/21/00	KISTLER		R	LAM2P220C	
<u></u>			\neg	EXAMINER		
025920 QM12/100 MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP				MORGAN.E		
710 LAKEWA		i"		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 170 SUNNYVALE	CA 94085			3723	5	
				DAIL MAILED.	10/03/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/747,844

Applicant(s)

Kistler et al.

Examiner

Morgan

Art Unit **3723**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with t	he corres		
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	монтн	(S) FROM	
af	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.				
- If NO co - Failur	e considered timely. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the control of the contr	y statute, cause the	e applicati	on to beco	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	3).
ea	reply received by the Office later than three months after the rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e maining date of th	ns commu	mication, e	even it timely flied, may reduce any	
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 21, 2	2000				
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for forma	al matter			
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	 -		is/are	pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are	e withdrawn from consideration	n.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			i	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>	 			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject 1	to restric	tion and/or election requiremer	nt.
Applica	tion Papers	•				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 ap	proved	b)□ disapproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of:	riority under 35	U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-	(d).	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received	i.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received	in Appli	cation N	0	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	eau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		this National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	85 U.S.C	. § 119(е).	
Attachm	ent(s)				·	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-	413) Paper I	No(s)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Info	ormal Patent	Application (PTO-152)	
17) 💢 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4	20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/747844

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doran-5,888,120.

Doran discloses a platen (210) with a plurality of piezoelectric elements (306-316) disposed above the platen, capable of exerting pressure on a polishing belt, wherein an electric field is used to activate the elements, the elements varying in dimension, the smaller being near the edge of the platen, wherein the elements individually activated to exert different forces, and wherein an a sacrificial material (212) is disposed above the platen for reducing wear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8-14,17,19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant et al.-5,916,012 in view of Doran.

Page 3

Pant discloses the claimed invention including applying a force to a bottom side of a polishing belt wherein a platen is below a belt and a wafer is polished from above the belt, wherein the pressure on the belt vary through slits (31,41). Pant does not disclose the use of piezoelectric elements for exerting pressure. However, Doran teaches polishing a wafer by applying different pressures on the backside through the use of piezoelectric elements (306-316) which can be controlled to exert varying pressure in response to conditions and wherein the elements vary in size and are smaller near the edge. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to substitute the pressure control means of Pant with piezoelectric elements, as taught by Doran, in order to more accurately control pressure distribution.

5. Claims 15,16,18, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant and Doran as applied to claims above, and further in view of Tietz-6,135,859.

Pant and Doran fail to show a rolling sacrificial member. However, Tietz teaches polishing a wafer with a belt, having a platen and using a rolling supporting member (476b) member above platen for increase support and backing to belt (456b). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Pant with a rolling support member, as taught by Tietz, in order to increase support to the polishing belt.

Art Unit:

6. Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pant et al in view of Doran and Tietz.

Pant discloses the claimed invention including applying a force to a bottom side of a polishing belt wherein a platen is below a belt and a wafer is polished from above the belt, wherein the pressure on the belt vary through slits (31,41). Pant does not disclose the use of piezoelectric elements for exerting pressure or a rolling sacrificial member. However, Doran teaches polishing a wafer by applying different pressures on the backside through the use of piezoelectric elements (306-316) which can be controlled to exert varying pressure in response to conditions and wherein the elements vary in size and are smaller near the edge. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to substitute the pressure control means of Pant with piezoelectric elements, as taught by Doran, in order to more accurately control pressure distribution. In regard to the sacrificial member, Tietz teaches polishing a wafer with a belt, having a platen and using a rolling supporting member (476b) member above platen for increase support and backing to belt (456b). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Pant with a rolling support member, as taught by Tietz, in order to increase support to the polishing belt.

Art Unit:

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 308-1743.

EILEEN P. MORGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

EM

September 29, 2001